

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,912	06/09/2000		Douglas Corning	SCHW-410	3491
28584	7590	06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP SUITE 2200				KARMIS, STEFANOS	
353 SACRA	MENTO S	STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, C	CA 94111	3624		

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/591,912	CORNING ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stefano Karmis	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,5-14,16,17,19-21 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,5-14,16,17,19-21 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate. <u>2/22/2005</u> atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 28 February 2005.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1, 14, and 38 are currently amended. Claims 3, 5, 8, 16, and 19-21 are previously presented. Claims 6, 7, 9-13, and 17 are left as originally filed. Claims 2, 4, 15, 18 and 22-37 are cancelled. Therefore, claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16, 17, 19-21, and 38 are pending in this application.

Summary of this Office Action

3. Applicant's arguments, filed on 28 February 2005 have been fully considered and are discussed in the next section below. Claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16, 17, 19-21, and 38 stand rejected and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully declined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3624

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16, 17, 19-21, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al. (hereinafter Matsumoto) U.S. Patent 6,526,285 in view of Schutzer et al. (hereinafter Schutzer) U.S. Patent 5,920,848.

Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 12-14, 16, 17, 19-21, and 38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as stated in paragraphs 5 of the previous office action, mailed 01 December 2004. Regarding claim 1, Applicant has amended the claim to include that the user defines the category tags for the items being tracked and controlling the lists based on the category tags. The Examiner agrees that Matsumoto fails to teach that user defined category tags for tracking items. However, Schutzer teaches a system and method for automated monitoring and tracing of financial transactions as well as automated classification and tracking of financial expenses (column 3, lines 24-35). The user employs a learning agent to monitor a user-defined category of expenses,

Art Unit: 3624

such as restaurant expenses where the learning agent act as a monitor (column 12, lines 46-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Matsumoto and include the user defined categories as taught by Schutzer because both Matsumoto and Schutzer teach a system to monitor financial data based on categories so as the user receives specific information from a large pool of financial data. Further, Schutzer teaches the financial data is for a variety of transactions including stock purchases and monitoring of stock purchases (column 4, lines 27-40).

Claims 14 and 38 contain amendments similar to that of claim 1 and therefore follow the same reasoning for rejections. Any remaining claims are either rejected based upon dependency or as discussed in the previous office action, mailed 01 December 2004. Therefore claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16, 17, 19-21, and 38 are rejected as stated above and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully declined.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16, 17, 19-21, and 38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (571) 272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 25 March 2005

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER